



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,742	10/29/2003	Nobuyuki Suzuki	031254	5432
23850 7	590 01/10/2006		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW			SNIEZEK, ANDREW L	
SUITE 1000	21, NW .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		2651	

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/694,742	SUZUKI, NOBUYUKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andrew L. Sniezek	2651		

	Andrew L. Sniezek	2651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	Idress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	the same day as filing a Ni wing replies: (1) an amendn stice of Appeal (with appeal	otice of Appeal. To avoid al nent, affidavit, or other evid fee) in compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31: or (3)			
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date	set forth in the final rejection, vie mailing date of the final reie	vhichever is later. In ction.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WI 06.07(f).	HEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	FILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for r r than three months after the m	amount of the fee. The appro	priate extension fee			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of	nths of the date of the appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered see NOTE below);	because			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			g the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of	Non-Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	: lowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendn	nent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b vided below or appended.)	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the	affidavit or other evidence	is necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections unde y and was not earlier presei	er appeal and/or appellant f nted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a			
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu			ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	()			
		Andrew S. A Andrew L. Snieze Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2651	k			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Each of the independent claims set forth additional limitations for the purpose of overcoming the art rejection(s). These limitations contain features not previously claimed, that requires at least further consideration and updated search. .